ASH 2025 Guidelines on AML in Older Adults Summary of New, Updated & Unchanged Recommendations



Visual Summary of Recommendations

No.		Older Adult Population (55+)		Recommendation	Strength	Evidence Certainty	Changes from 2020
1		Newly diagnosed AML		Recommends offering antileukemic therapy over best supportive care			Supporting evidence not reviewed, recommendation not updated in 2025
2	а	Newly diagnosed AML considered candidates for intensive antileukemic therapy		Suggests conventional induction and post-remission therapy over HMA or LDAC monotherapy induction and post-remission therapy	⊘	0000	2020 recommendation has been split into 2a and 2b, and venetoclax has been added to the comparison for 2b. "Intensive antileu- kemic therapy" changed to
	b			Suggests using either conventional induction and post-remission therapy or HMA- or LDAC-based induction and post-remission therapy in combination with venetoclax		0000	"conventional induction" "Less-intensive antileukemic therapy" changed to "HMA- or LDAC-based induction"
;	3	Newly diagnosed AML who achieve remission after at least a single cycle of conventional induction therapy and who are not candidates for allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation				••	The recommendation is now strong instead of conditional and the wording has been changed to enhance clarity.
	а	Newly diagnosed A appropriate for ant but not for convenient	tileukemic therapy	Suggests azacitidine monotherapy over LDAC monotherapy	⊘	00 00	Now suggests azacitidine monotherapy over LDAC monotherapy instead of either HMA or LDAC.
	b	and post-remission HMA-based combi	n therapy or for	Suggests 5-d decitabine monotherapy over 10-d decitabine monotherapy		•••	New in 2025
4	С	Newly diagnosed A appropriate for ant but not for conventand post-remission	tileukemic therapy tional induction	Suggests HMA in combination with venetoclax over HMA alone		0000	Now suggests combination therapy instead of either venetoclax or HMA monotherapy.
	d	Newly diagnosed A appropriate for ant but not for conven- and post-remission HMA-based combi	tileukemic therapy tional induction n therapy or for	Suggests LDAC in combination with venetoclax over LDAC monotherapy	⊘	00 00	Now suggests combination therapy instead of either venetoclax or LDAC monotherapy.
	а	Newly diagnosed AML, considered appropriate for antileukemic therapy but not for conventional induction and post-remission therapy	with IDH1 mutation	Suggests azacitidine in combination with ivosidenib over azacitidine monotherapy	⊘	00 00	New in 2025
_	b			Suggests using either HMA in combination with ivosidenib or HMA in combination with venetoclax	⊘	•000	New in 2025
5	С		with IDH2 mutation	Suggests azacitidine monotherapy over azacitidine in combination with enasidenib	⊘	00 00	New in 2025
	d			Suggests HMA in combination with venetoclax over HMA in combination with enasidenib	⊘	0 000	New in 2025
	6	Newly diagnosed AML who achieve a response after receiving HMA- or LDAC-based induction and post- remission therapy		Suggests continuing therapy indefinitely until progression or unacceptable toxicity over stopping therapy	⊘	0000	Wording has been changed to enhance clarity.
	7	Newly diagnosed AML who are candidates for conventional induction and post-remission antileukemic therapy and who have a FLT3 mutation		Suggests conventional induction and post-remission antileukemic therapy in combination with a FLT3 inhibitor over conventional induction and post-remission antileukemic therapy alone	⊘	0000	New in 2025
	8	Newly diagnosed AML who have responded to initial antileukemic therapy, who are candidates for an allo-HCT during first remission, and who have non-favorable prognosis based on molecular and karyotypic characteristics		Suggests an allo-HCT over no transplantation	⊘	0 000	New in 2025
,	9	Older adults with AML who are no longer receiving antileukemic therapy (including those receiving end-of-life care or hospice care)		Suggests having red blood cell transfusions be available over not having transfusions be available		0 000	Supporting evidence not reviewed, recommendation not updated in 2025

AlloHCT: Allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation; AML: Acute Myeloid Leukemia; FLT3: FMS-like tyrosine kinase 3; HMA: Hypomethylating agent; IDH1: Isocitrate dehydrogenase 1; IDH2: Isocitrate dehydrogenase 2; LDAC: Low-Dose Cytarabine

Learn more about the ASH 2025 Clinical Practice Guidelines on AML in Older Adults at *hematology.org/amlguidelines*

	Recommendation Strength					
	Recommends	Recommends against	Suggests	Suggests against		
	INTERPRETATION OF STR	RONG RECOMMENDATIONS	INTERPRETATION OF CONDITIONAL RECOMMENDATIONS			
Patients	Most individuals in this s recommended course o proportion would not.	situation would want the f action, and only a small	Most individuals in this situation would want the suggested course of action, but many would not. Decision aids may be useful in helping patients to make decisions consistent with their individual risks, values, and preferences.			
Clinicians	Most individuals should course of action. Formal likely to be needed to he make decisions consistent preferences.	elp individual patients	Different choices will be appropriate for individual patients; clinicians must help each patient arrive at a management decision consistent with the patient's values and preferences. Decision aids may be useful in helping individuals to make decisions consistent with their individual risks, values, and preferences.			
Policymakers	most situations. Adhere	n be adopted as policy in nce to this recommenda- ideline could be used as formance indicator.	Policymaking will require substantial debate and involvement of various stakeholders. Performance measures should assess if decision making is appropriate.			
Researchers	ommendation. On occasionation is based on low of the evidence. In such instance, and the evidence of the evide	ncing judgments that h unlikely to alter the rec- sion, a strong recommen-	The recommendation is likely to be strengthened (for future updates or adaptation) by additional research. An evaluation of the conditions and criteria (and the related judgments, research evidence, and additional considerations) that determined the conditional (rather than strong) recommendation will help identify possible research gaps.			

	Evidence Certainty
	High Certainty
$\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{Q}$	We are very confident that the true effect lies close to that of the estimate of the effect.
	Moderate Certainty
000 0	We are moderately confident in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be close to the estimate of the effect, but there is a possibility that it is substantially different.
	Low Certainty
0000	Our confidence in the effect estimate is limited: the true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of the effect.
	Very Low Certainty
\$ 000	We have very little confidence in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be substantially different from the estimate of effect.

REFERENCE: Sekeres M.A., Mattison R., Artz A., Baer, M.R., Chua C.C., Demichelis-Gomez R., Egan P.C., Fletcher L., Foucar C., Garcia J.S., Gilberto L., Gómez De León A., Lancet J., Loh K.P., Malcovati L., Marini B., Platzbecker U., Sorror, M.L., Tinsley-Vance S., Treitz J., Oliveros M.J., Ibrahim S., Roldan Y., Guyatt G., Brignardello-Petersen R. American Society of Hematology 2025 guidelines for treating newly diagnosed acute myeloid leukemia in older adults. Blood Advances. doi: https://doi.org/10.1182/bloodadvances.2025017934

ASH guidelines are reviewed often by expert work groups convened by ASH. Resources derived from guidelines that require updating are removed from the ASH website.

For more information visit www.hematology.org/amlguidelines

